arXiv:1408.2692v1 [math.CA] 12 Aug 2014

MONTEL–TYPE THEOREMS FOR EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

J. M. ALMIRA*, L. SZÉKELYHIDI

Abstract. In this paper we characterize local exponential monomials and polynomials on different types of Abelian groups and we prove Montel–type theorems for these function classes.

1. Notation and preliminaries

In this paper $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ denote the set of natural numbers, integers, reals, and complex numbers, respectively. We note that 0 is in $\mathbb{N}$. We use the following standard multi-index notation: for each natural number d the elements of $\mathbb{N}^d$ are called *multi-indices*. Whenever α, β are multi-indices, and x is in $\mathbb{C}^d$, then we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_d \\ \alpha^\beta &= \alpha_1^{\beta_1}\alpha_2^{\beta_2}\cdots\alpha_d^{\beta_d} \\ x^\alpha &= x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We use the convention $0^0 = 1$. We note that the last equation extends to α in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ assuming x is in $\mathbb{C}_0^d$, where $\mathbb{C}_0$ denotes the set of nonzero complex numbers.

The function $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is called an *exponential*, if it is a continuous homomorphism of the additive group of $\mathbb{C}^n$ into the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers. The function $f : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is called an *exponential monomial*, if it is the product of an exponential and a polynomial. Linear combinations of exponential monomials are called *exponential polynomials*. Hence the general form of exponential polynomials on $\mathbb{C}$ is the following: for each z in $\mathbb{C}^d$ we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} a_\alpha z^\alpha e^{\langle\lambda,z\rangle}\,,$$

where N is a nonnegative integer, a_α is a complex number for each $|\alpha| \leqslant N$, further λ is in $\mathbb{C}^d$, and $\langle\lambda, z\rangle$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^d$. In particular, the restrictions of exponential polynomials to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ can be written in the form

$$f(n) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} a_\alpha n^\alpha \lambda^n$$

for each n in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, where λ is in $\mathbb{C}_0^d$.

The research was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grant No. NK-81402.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43B45, 39A70; Secondary 39B52.

Key words and phrases. Exponential Polynomials on abelian Groups, Montel's theorem.

* Corresponding author .

These concepts have natural extensions to any topological Abelian group in place of $\mathbb{C}^d$. On any topological Abelian group G we use the term *exponential* for a continuous complex homomorphism of G into the multiplicative topological group of nonzero complex numbers. However, the concept of "polynomial" can be generalized in several different ways. One depends on the concept of *additive function*, which is a continuous homomorphism of G into the additive topological group of complex numbers. A *polynomial* on G is a function having the form $x \mapsto P\big(a_1(x), a_2(x), \ldots, a_k(x)\big)$, where $P : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$ is a complex polynomial in k variables, which we shall call in this paper an *ordinary polynomial*, and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ are additive functions. Finally, we call a function an *exponential monomial*, if it is the product of a polynomial and an exponential. In this case, if the polynomial is nonzero, then the exponential is unique, and we say that f *corresponds to* the exponential in question.

The other concept of polynomial is related to Fréchet's functional equation

$$\Delta_{y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n+1}} f(x) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where n is a natural number, $x, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ are in G, and Δ_y stands for the *difference operator* defined by

$$\Delta_y f(x) = f(x+y) - f(x)$$

for each x, y in G and function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$, further $\Delta_{y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n+1}}$ denotes the product

$$\Delta_{y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n+1}} = \Delta_{y_1} \circ \Delta_{y_2} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{y_{n+1}}\,.$$

Sometimes the functional equation

$$\Delta_y^{n+1} f(x) = 0\,, \tag{2}$$

where n is a natural number, x, y are in G, is also called Fréchet's equation. Here Δ_y^{n+1} denotes the $n+1$-th iterate of Δ_y. It turns out that (1) and (2) are equivalent for complex valued functions on any Abelian group, as it has been proved in [4] (see also [8]).

The function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *generalized polynomial*, if it satisfies (1) for each $x, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ in G, and it is called a *generalized exponential monomial*, if it is the product of a generalized polynomial and an exponential. Here the exponential is unique again, assuming that the generalized polynomial is nonzero. We use the same terminology as above, that is, linear combinations of exponential monomials, resp. generalized exponential monomials are called *exponential polynomials*, resp. *generalized exponential polynomials*. It is known that on finitely generated Abelian groups every generalized polynomial is a polynomial (see e.g. [10, 12]). It follows that on finitely generated Abelian groups every generalized exponential polynomial is an exponential polynomial. A function is called a *local polynomial*, a *local exponential monomial*, or a *local exponential polynomial*, if its restriction to every finitely generated subgroup is a polynomial, an exponential monomial, or an exponential polynomial, respectively.

Given a topological Abelian group G the set of all continuous complex valed functions on G will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(G)$. This space, equipped with the pointwise linear operations and with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets is a locally convex topological vector space. If G is discrete, then the corresponding

topology is that of pointwise convergence. A subset of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is called *translation invariant*, if with every element f in this subset it also contains its *translate* $\tau_y f$ for each y in G, where $\tau_y f(x) = f(x+y)$ for each x, y in G. A closed translation invariant subspace in $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is called a *variety* on G.

A basic result on varieties on $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the following (see [5]).

Theorem 1. *(Lefranc, 1958) In each variety on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ the exponential monomials span a dense subspace.*

An extension of Lefranc's Theorem 1 to finitely generated Abelian groups is obvious (see [11, Theorem 2.23, Theorem 2.24]). It follows that every finite dimensional translation invariant linear space of complex valued functions on a finitely generated Abelian group consists of exponential polynomials. This theorem has the following generalization to topological Abelian groups (see e.g. [9, Theorem 10.1.], p. 78).

Theorem 2. *Every finite dimensional translation invariant space of continuous complex valued functions on a topological Abelian group consists of exponential polynomials.*

Another important contribution to the subject is the theorem of P. M. Anselone and J. Korevaar in [3].

Theorem 3. *(Anselone–Korevaar) Every finite dimensional translation invariant space of continuous complex valued functions, or complex valued Schwartz distributions on the reals consists of exponential polynomials.*

For the characterization of exponential monomials we use modified difference operators as they have been introduced in [14] (see also [6, 7, 13]). The definition follows.

Let G be an Abelian group and let $f, \varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ be functions. For each x, y in G we define

$$\Delta_{\varphi;y} f(x) = f(x+y) - \varphi(y) f(x) = \big(\tau_y - \varphi(y)\tau_0\big) f(x)\,.$$

Then $\Delta_{\varphi;y}$ is called *φ-modified difference operator* or simply *modified difference operator*. The higher order modified difference operators are defined in an obvious way, as the products

$$\Delta_{\varphi;y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n+1}} = \Delta_{\varphi;y_1} \circ \Delta_{\varphi;y_2} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{\varphi;y_{n+1}}\,,$$

whenever n is a natural number and $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ are arbitrary in G. In case of $y = y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ we use the notation $\Delta^{n+1}_{\varphi;y}$ for the above product. We note that the translation operators τ_y obviously commute, hence in this notation the increments $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ can arbitrarily be reordered.

Modified difference operators can be used to characterize generalized exponential monomials and polynomials. For the details see [7, 13, 14]. Here we need the following simple result.

Theorem 4. *Let G be an Abelian group, n a natural number and let $f, \varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ be functions. If f is nonzero, and it satisfies*

$$\Delta_{\varphi;y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_{n+1}} f(x) = 0 \tag{3}$$

for each $x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}$ in G, then φ is an exponential and f is a generalized exponential monomial corresponding to φ.

Proof. We prove by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ has been proved in [14]. Suppose that $n \geqslant 1$ and $f \neq 0$ satisfies the above equation for each $x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}$ in G. If there exist elements $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ in G such that the function $g = \Delta_{\varphi;y_1,y_2,\dots,y_n} f$ is nonzero, then $\Delta_{\varphi;y} g(x) = 0$ for each x, y in G, which implies that φ is an exponential, by the first part of the proof. On the other hand, if g is identically zero for every choice of $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ in G, then φ is an exponential, by the induction hypothesis.

For the second statement we observe that

$$\Delta_{\varphi;y_1,y_2,\dots,y_{n+1}} f(x) = \varphi(x + y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{n+1}) \Delta_{y_1,y_2,\dots,y_{n+1}} \big(f \cdot \check{\varphi}\big)(x) \tag{4}$$

holds for each $x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}$ in G, which can be verified by easy calculation. Here $\check{\varphi}$ is defined by $\check{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(-x)$ for each x in G. Equation (4) implies that (3) holds if and only if the function $f \cdot \check{\varphi}$ satisfies Fréchet's functional equation (1), that is, $f = p \cdot \varphi$ with some generalized polynomial p. □

2. A characterization of local exponential monomials

In this section we prove a characterization theorem for local exponential monomials, which is based on the following theorem (see [2, Theorem 2]):

Theorem 5. *Let G be an Abelian group. The function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a local polynomial if and only if for each positive integer t, and elements $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$ in G there are natural numbers n_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ such that*

$$\Delta_{g_i}^{n_i+1} * f(x) = 0 \tag{5}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and for all x in the subgroup generated by $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$.

Using this theorem we have the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let G be an Abelian group. The function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a local exponential monomial if and only if there exists an exponential m on G, and for each positive integer t, and elements $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$ in G there are natural numbers n_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ such that*

$$\Delta_{m;g_i}^{n_i+1} f(x) = 0 \tag{6}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and for all x in the subgroup generated by $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$.

Proof. To prove the sufficiency we apply the identity (4), which implies that for each positive integer t, and elements $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$ in G there are natural numbers n_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ such that

$$\Delta_{g_i}^{n_i+1} \big(f \cdot \check{m}\big)(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, and for all x in the subgroup generated by $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t$. Indeed, exponentials never vanish, hence form (4) we immediately obtain (7). Applying Theorem 5 we get that $f \cdot \check{m}$ is a local polynomial, which implies our statement.

For the proof of necessity we observe that if f is a nonzero local exponential monomial on G, then for each finitely generated subgroup H there exists an exponential m_H on H and a natural number n_H such that

$$\Delta_{m_H;h}^{n_H+1} f(x) = 0$$

holds for each x, h in H. As f is nonzero, there exists a finitely generated subgroup H_0 such that the restriction of f to H_0 is nonzero. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of all finitely generated subgroups of G, which include H_0. Clearly, $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = G$. We define $m : G \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$m(x) = m_H(x)\,,$$

whenever x is in H with H in $\mathcal{F}$. It is obvious that m is well-defined on G. If x, y are in G, then there is an H in $\mathcal{F}$ such that x, y are in H, and we have

$$m(x+y) = m_H(x+y) = m_H(x)m_H(y) = m(x)m(y)\,,$$

that is, m is an exponential on G. We have proved that if f is a local exponential monomial on G, then there exists an exponential m on G, and for each finitely generated subgroup H there exists a natural number n_H such that

$$\Delta_{m;h}^{n_H+1} f(x) = 0$$

holds for each x, h in H, which proves the necessity of our condition and our proof is complete. □

In [2] we also proved the following result.

Theorem 7. *Let t be a positive integer, let $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t$ be elements in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$ be natural numbers. Suppose that the complex valued distribution u satisfies*

$$\Delta_{h_k}^{n_k+1} u = 0 \tag{8}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. If the vectors $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then f is an ordinary polynomial of degree at most $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t + t - 1$. In particular, generalized polynomials and local polynomials in distributional sense are ordinary polynomials.

Using this theorem we obtain the following result exactly in the same way as above.

Theorem 8. *Let u be a complex valued distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and t a positive integer. If there exists an exponential m on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and there are elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_t$ generating a dense subgroup in $\mathbb{R}^d$, further there are natural numbers n_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ such that*

$$\Delta_{m;g_i}^{n_i+1} u(x) = 0 \tag{9}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ and for all x in the subgroup generated by $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_t$, then u is an exponential monomial.

We recall that if $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function, then τ_h is defined by

$$\tau_h f(x) = f(x+h)\,,$$

and if u is a complex valued distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$(\tau_h u)(\phi) = u(\tau_{-h}\phi)\,,$$

where x, h are in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and ϕ is an arbitrary test function. Then $\tau_h u$ is again a distribution. Consequently, we have

$$\Delta_{\varphi;h} u = \big(\tau_h - \varphi(h)\tau_0\big) u$$

for each distribution u, function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and element h in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then the meaning of $\Delta_{\varphi;h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_s} u$ is obvious, too. Finally, when we claim that the distribution u is an exponential polynomial, we mean that there exists an exponential polynomial $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $u = f$ in distributional sense. In particular, u is a locally integrable function and $u(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere.

We summarize our results in the following corollary.

Corollary 9. *We suppose that t is a positive integer, and either of the following possibilities holds:*

1. *G is a finitely generated Abelian group with generators $h_1, \ldots, h_t$, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function.*
2. *G is a topological Abelian group, in which the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup in G, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function.*
3. *$G = \mathbb{R}^d$, the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup, and f is a complex valued distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

If there are natural numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$, and there is an exponential $m : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that f satisfies

$$\Delta_{m;h_k}^{n_k} f = 0$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, then f is an exponential monomial corresponding to the exponential function m.

Proof. Case (1) follows directly from Theorem 6. Case (2) follows from Theorem 6 and Case (3) is Theorem 8. □

3. Subspaces which are $\Delta_{m;y}^s$-invariant

A weak point in Corollary 9 is that we have to assume that m is an exponential. In the subsequent sections we shall weaken this hypothesis.

Lemma 10. *Given a vector space V of complex valued functions on G, and a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *V is translation invariant, that is $\tau_y f$ is in V for each y in G and f in V.*
2. *V is difference invariant, that is $\Delta_y f$ is in V for each y in G and f in V.*
3. *V is φ-modified difference invariant, that is $\Delta_{\varphi;y} f$ is in V for each y in G and f in V.*

Consequently, if $y_1, \cdots, y_t$ generate G, and $\Delta_{\varphi;y_k}(V) \subseteq V$ for $k = 1, \cdots, t$, then V is translation invariant. Analogously, if G is a topological Abelian group, $y_1, \cdots, y_t$ generate a dense subgroup of G and $V \subseteq C(G, \mathbb{C})$, then V is invariant by translations. In particular, if V is finite dimensional, then and all its elements are exponential polynomials.

Proof. Indeed, if f belongs to V, then the function g defined by

$$g(x) = \Delta_{\varphi;y} f(x) = f(x + y) - \varphi(y) f(x)$$

belongs to V if and only if $h(x) = \tau_y f(x) = f(x + y)$ is in V, since V is a vector space. □

Obviously, Lemma 10 has an analogous version for the distributional setting.

Lemma 11. *Given a vector space V of complex valued distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) V is translation invariant, that is $\tau_y u$ is in V for each y in G and u in V.
(2) V is difference invariant, that is $\Delta_y u$ is in V for each y in G and u in V.
(3) V is φ-modified difference invariant, that is $\Delta_{\varphi;y} u$ is in V for each y in G and u in V.

Consequently, if $y_1, \cdots, y_t$ generate $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Delta_{\varphi;y_k}(V) \subseteq V$ for $k = 1, \cdots, t$, then V is translation invariant. In particular, if V is finite dimensional, then all its elements are exponential polynomials.

Proof. For the first part of this result the proof of Lemma 10 applies. The last statement is Anselone-Koreevar's Theorem 3. □

Given a vector space E, a subset $V \subseteq E$, a linear operator $L : E \to E$, and a natural number n we introduce the notation

$$V_L^{[n]} = V + L(V) + \cdots + L^n(V)\,.$$

As L^0 is the identity operator, we have $V_L^{[0]} = V$.

Using a slightly different notation the following technical result has been proved in [1, Lemma 2.1]:

Lemma 12. *Let E be a vector space, $L : E \to E$ a linear operator, and let n be a positive integer. If V is an L^n-invariant subspace of E, then the linear space $V_L^{[n]}$ is L-invariant. Furthermore, $V_L^{[n]}$ is the smallest L-invariant subspace of E containing V.*

Proof. Let v be in $V_L^{[n]}$, then

$$v = v_0 + Lv_1 + \cdots + L^{n-1}v_{n-1} + L^n v_n \tag{10}$$

with some elements $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ in V. By the L^n-invariance of V, we have that $L^n v_n = u$ is in V, hence it follows

$$Lv = L(v_0 + u) + L^2 v_1 + \cdots + L^n v_{n-1}\,,$$

and the right hand side is clearly in $V_L^{[n]}$. This proves that $V_L^{[n]}$ is L-invariant. On the other hand, if W is an L-invariant subspace of E, which contains V, then $L^k(V) \subseteq W$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, hence the right hand side of (10) is in W. □

Now we can prove the following result, which generalizes [1, Lemma 2.2]:

Lemma 13. *Let t be a positive integer, E a vector space, $L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_t : E \to E$ pairwise commuting linear operators, and let $s_1, \cdots, s_t$ be natural numbers. Given a subspace $V \subseteq E$ we form the sequence of subspaces*

$$V_0 = V,\ \ V_i = (V_{i-1})_{L_i}^{[s_i]},\ \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, t\,. \tag{11}$$

If for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ the subspace V is $L_i^{s_i}$-invariant, then V_t is L_i-invariant, and it contains V. Furthermore, V_t is the smallest subspace of E containing V, which is L_i-invariant for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

Proof. First we prove by induction on i that V_i is $L_j^{s_j}$-invariant and it contains V for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, t$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. For $i = 0$ we have $V_0 = V$, which is $L_j^{s_j}$-invariant for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, by assumption.

Suppose that $i \geqslant 1$, and we have proved the statement for V_{i-1}. Now we prove it for V_i. If v is in V_i, then we have

$$v = u_0 + L_i u_1 + \cdots + L_i^{s_i} u_{s_i}\,,$$

where u_j is in V_{i-1} for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, s_i$. It follows for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$

$$L_j^{s_j} v = (L_j^{s_j} u_0) + L_i(L_j^{s_j} u_1) + \cdots + L_i^{s_i}(L_j^{s_j} u_{s_i})\,.$$

Here we used the commuting property of the given operators, which obviously holds for their powers, too. By the induction hypothesis, the elements in the brackets on the right hand side belong to V_{i-1}, hence $L_j^{s_j} v$ is in V_i, that is, V_i is $L_j^{s_j}$-invariant. As V_i includes V_{i-1}, we also conclude that V is in V_i, and our statement is proved.

Now we have

$$V_t = V_{t-1} + L_t(V_{t-1}) + \cdots + L_t^{s_t}(V_{t-1})\,,$$

and we apply the previous lemma: as V_{t-1} is $L_t^{s_t}$-invariant, we have that V_t is L_t-invariant.

Let us now prove the invariance of V_t under the operators L_j $(j < t)$. Since V_1 is clearly L_1-invariant, by Lemma 12, an induction process gives that V_{t-1} is L_i-invariant for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$. Thus, if we take $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$, then we can use that $L_i L_t = L_t L_i$ and $L_i(V_{t-1})$ is a subset of V_{t-1} to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} L_i(V_t) &= L_i(V_{t-1}) + L_t(L_i(V_{t-1})) + \cdots + L_t^{s_t}(L_i(V_{t-1})) \\ &\subseteq V_{t-1} + L_t(V_{t-1}) + \cdots + L_t^{s_t}(V_{t-1}) = V_t\,, \end{aligned}$$

which completes this part of the proof.

Suppose that W is a subspace in E such that $V \subseteq W$, and W is L_j-invariant for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Then, obviously, all the subspaces V_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ are included in W. In particular, V_t is included in W. This proves that V_t is the smallest subspace in E, which includes V, and which is invariant with respect to the family of operators L_i. Consequently, it follows that V_t is uniquely determined by V, and by the family of the operators L_i, no matter how we label these operators. □

Theorem 14. *We suppose that t is a positive integer, and either of the following possibilities holds:*

1. *G is a finitely generated Abelian group with generators $h_1, \ldots, h_t$, and V is a finite dimensional vector space of complex valued functions on G.*
2. *G is a topological Abelian group, in which the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup, and V is a finite dimensional vector space of continuous complex valued functions on G.*
3. *$G = \mathbb{R}^d$, the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup in G, and V is a finite dimensional vector space of complex valued distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

If there are natural numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$, and there is a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Delta_{\varphi; h_k}^{n_k+1}(V) \subseteq V$ holds for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, then V is included in a finite dimensional translation invariant linear space. In particular, V consists of exponential polynomials.

Proof. We apply Lemma 13 with $L_i = \Delta_{\varphi;h_i} : E \to E$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$, to conclude that, with the notation $W = V_t$, we have $V \subseteq W$, and W is a finite dimensional subspace satisfying $\Delta_{\varphi;h_i}(W) \subseteq W$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. The hypotheses on $\{h_1, \cdots, h_t\}$ and Lemmas 10, 11 imply that W is translation invariant. Theorems 1, 2, and 3 guarantee that W consists of exponential polynomials. In particular, V consists of exponential polynomials, too. □

4. A Monte–type theorem for exponential monomials

Theorem 15. *We suppose that t is a positive integer, and either of the following possibilities holds:*

1. *G is a finitely generated Abelian group with generators $h_1, \ldots, h_t$, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero function.*
2. *G is a topological Abelian group, in which the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero continuous function.*
3. *$G = \mathbb{R}^d$, the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_t$ generate a dense subgroup in G, and f is a nonzero complex valued distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

If there are natural numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$, and there is a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Delta_{\varphi;h_k}^{n_k+1} f = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, t,$$

then f is an exponential monomial. Furthermore, if $e : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is the exponential function associated to f, then $m(h_i) = e(h_i)$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$. Consequently, if $\Delta_{\varphi;y}^{n+1} f(x) = 0$ for all x, y in G, then $\varphi = e$.

Proof. Let us prove (1). The other cases are direct consequences of this one. It follows from Theorem 14 that f is an exponential polynomial:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i(x) e_i(x)\,, \tag{12}$$

where $e_1, \cdots, e_s : G \to \mathbb{C}$ are exponentials with $e_i \neq e_j$ whenever $i \neq j$, further $p_1, \cdots, p_s : G \to \mathbb{C}$ are polynomials, of degrees $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_s$, respectively. We can assume, with no loss of generality, that $k_i \geqslant s_i - 1$ for all i and

$$p_i(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant k_i} c_{i,\alpha} a(x)^\alpha$$

where $a(x) = (a_1(x), a_2(x), \cdots, a_d(x))$, and the functions $a_1, \cdots, a_d : G \to \mathbb{C}$ are additive and linearly independent, further $c_{i,\alpha}$ is a complex number for each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Under such conditions it is known (see [9], Lemma 4.8, p. 44) that the functions in the set

$$B = \{a^\alpha e_k, \quad 0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant k_i \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \cdots, s\} \tag{13}$$

are linearly independent, hence they form a basis of the generated vector space, which we denote by $\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{span} B$. Furthermore, f is in $\mathcal{E}$, by construction.

We consider the linear map $\Delta_{\varphi;h} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ induced by the operator $\Delta_{\varphi;h}$, when restricted to $\mathcal{E}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{E} = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_s$, where

$$E_j = \operatorname{span}\{a^\alpha e_i\}_{0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant k_i}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, s.$$

Furthermore, $\Delta_{\varphi;h}(E_j) \subseteq E_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, since

$$q_{\alpha,h}(x) = \Delta_h a(x)^\alpha = (a(x) + a(h))^\alpha - a(x)^\alpha$$

is a polynomial of degree at most $|\alpha|-1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\varphi;h}(a(x)^\alpha e_k(x)) &= ((a(x)+a(h))^\alpha e_k(h) - m(h)a(x)^\alpha)e_k(x)\\ &= (a(x)^\alpha(e_k(h)-m(h)) + q_{\alpha,h}(x)e_k(h))e_k(x).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each h in G and $p \geqslant 1$ the operator $\Delta^p_{\varphi;h}$ also satisfies the relation $\Delta^p_{\varphi;h}(E_j) \subseteq E_j$ whenever $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, hence if g is in $\mathcal{E}$, then $\Delta^p_{\varphi;h}(g) = 0$ if and only if $\Delta^p_{\varphi,h} b_j = 0$, where $g = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$, with b_j in E_j for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$.

Let j be in $\{1, \cdots, s\}$, and we consider the restriction of the operator $\Delta_{\varphi;h}$ to E_j, denoting it by the same symbol. We order the basis $B_j = \{a^\alpha e_j\}_{0\leqslant|\alpha|\leqslant k_j}$ of E_j by the *graded lexicographic order*:

$$a^\alpha e_j \leqslant_{grlex} a^\gamma e_j$$

if and only if

$$|\alpha| \leqslant |\gamma| \text{ or } (|\alpha| = |\gamma| \text{ and } \alpha \leqslant_{lex} \gamma),$$

where $\leqslant_{lex}$ refers to the *lexicographic order*. The matrix A_j associated to the operator $\Delta_{\varphi;h}$ with respect to this basis is upper triangular, and the entries in its main diagonal are all equal to $d_j(h) = e_j(h) - \varphi(h)$. Obviously, this implies that if $\varphi(h) \neq e_j(h)$, then $d_j(h) \neq 0$, so that the restriction of $\Delta_{\varphi;h}$ to E_j is invertible. In particular, if $\Delta_{\varphi;h} b_j = 0$ for some b_j in E_j, then $b_j = 0$.

Let $f = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$ be in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\Delta^{s_j}_{\varphi;h_j} f = 0$ for all j. Then $\Delta^{s_j}_{\varphi;h_j} b_i = 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$. Thus, if $b_{i_1}, b_{i_2} \neq 0$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$ (so that f is not an exponential monomial) and we take $j \in \{1, \cdots, t\}$, then $\Delta^{s_j}_{\varphi;h_j} b_{i_1} = 0$ with $b_{i_1} \neq 0$ implies that $\varphi(h_j) = e_{i_1}(h_j)$. The same argument, when applied to b_{i_2}, shows that $\varphi(h_j) = e_{i_2}(h_j)$. Hence $e_{i_1}(h_j) = e_{i_2}(h_j)$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$. As $\{h_1, \cdots, h_t\}$ generates G and e_{i_1}, e_{i_2} are homomorphisms, this implies $e_{i_1} = e_{i_2}$, which is a contradiction. It follows that f is an exponential monomial. Furthermore, we have also proved that if $f = b_{k_0}$, then $\varphi(h_j) = e_{k_0}(h_j)$ for all j. This ends the first part of the proof.

If we assume that $\Delta^{n+1}_{\varphi;y} f(x) = 0$ for all x, y in G, then we can add this y to the system $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^t$ to get a generating set of G with $t+1$ elements, and then we apply the result to infer $\varphi(y) = e_{k_0}(y)$. This proves that $\varphi = e_{k_0}$ is an exponential. □

The following corollary is evident.

Corollary 16. *Let G be an Abelian group and assume that $\Delta^{n+1}_{\varphi;h} f = 0$ admits a nonzero solution f. Then φ is an exponential on G.*

5. Montel–type theorem for exponential polynomials and a characterization of local exponential polynomials

Let G be an Abelian group, and let H be the subgroup of G generated by the elements $\{g_1, \cdots, g_t\}$ of G, further let $\{n_{i,k}\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant r, 1\leqslant k\leqslant t}$ be a finite set of natural numbers. We say that the complex valued functions $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ on H form a *minimal set* of functions for the functional equation

$$\Delta^{n_{1,i_1}+1}_{\varphi_1;g_{i_1}} \Delta^{n_{2,i_2}+1}_{\varphi_2;g_{i_2}} \cdots \Delta^{n_{r,i_r}+1}_{\varphi_r;g_{i_r}} f(x) = 0 \tag{14}$$

with $1 \leqslant i_k \leqslant t$, $k = 1, \cdots, r$, if $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function satisfying equation (14) for each x in H, and either $r = 1$ and $f|_H \neq 0$, or $r \geqslant 2$ and for each k in $\{1, \cdots, r\}$,

there exist natural numbers $1 \leqslant a_j \leqslant t$ and j in $\{1, \cdots, k-1, k+1, \cdots, t\}$ such that

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_{k-1};g_{a_{k-1}}}^{n_{k-1,a_{k-1}}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_{k+1};g_{a_{k+1}}}^{n_{k+1,a_{k+1}}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r,g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1} f(x_0) \neq 0$$

for some x_0 in H.

Theorem 17. *Suppose that one of the following cases holds:*

(1) G is an Abelian group generated by the subset $\{g_1, \cdots, g_t\}$, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero function.
(2) G is a topological Abelian group in which the subset $\{g_1, \cdots, g_t\}$ generates a dense subgroup, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero continuous function.
(3) $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, in which the subset $\{g_1, \cdots, g_t\}$ generates a dense subgroup, and f is a nonzero complex valued distribution.

Suppose moreover that there exist natural numbers $\{n_{i,k}\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant r, 1\leqslant k\leqslant t}$ and functions $\varphi_k : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that (14) holds for $1 \leqslant i_k \leqslant t$, $k = 1, \cdots, r$, and for each x in G.

Then the following statements hold:

(i) f is an exponential polynomial of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i e_i \,,$$

where p_i is a polynomial, and e_i is an exponential for $i = 1, \cdots, N$.

(ii) If $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ is a minimal set of functions for the functional equation (14), then there exist exponential functions $m_k : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $m_k(g_i) = \varphi_k(g_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Moreover, if the functions φ_k also satisfy the equation

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;y_1}^{n_1+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;y_2}^{n_2+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;y_r}^{n_r+1} f(x) = 0$$

for all $x, y_1, \cdots, y_r$ in G, then φ_k is an exponential function for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, further $N \leqslant r$ and, possibly by renumbering the m's, we have $e_k(g_i) = m_k(g_i)$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$.

Proof. We prove (1) as the other cases are direct consequences of this statement. First we prove (i). By induction, we show that if f satisfies (14) with some functions $\varphi_k : G \to \mathbb{C}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$, then f is an exponential polynomial. This claim has already been proved for $r = 1$, so that we assume $r \geqslant 2$ and we let $h_i = \Delta_{\varphi_1;g_i}^{n_{1,i}+1} f$. Then

$$\Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{i_2}}^{n_{2,i_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{i_r}}^{n_{r,i_r}+1} h_i(x) = \Delta_{\varphi_1;g_i}^{n_{1,i}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{i_2}}^{n_{2,i_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{i_r}}^{n_{r,i_r}+1} f(x) = 0 \tag{15}$$

for $1 \leqslant i, i_k \leqslant t$, $k = 2, \cdots, r$, and for all x in G. Thus, the induction hypothesis implies that h_i is an exponential polynomial for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. In particular, $W_i = \tau(h_i)$ is finite dimensional, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$.

Let $V = \operatorname{span}\{f\} + W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_t$. Then V is a finite dimensional space. Moreover,

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_i}^{n_{1,i}+1}(V) \subseteq V, \quad i = 1, 2 \cdots, t$$

since $h_i = \Delta_{\varphi_1;g_i}^{n_{1,i}+1} f$ is in $W_i \subseteq V$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$, and, on the other hand, for each j, the space W_j is translation invariant. Indeed, Lemma 10 implies that W_j is $\Delta_{m_1;g_i}$-invariant for each i, hence it is also $\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_i}^{n_{1,i}+1}$-invariant for each i.

It follows from Theorem 14 that all elements of V are exponential polynomials. In particular, $V \subseteq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} E_k$, where each E_k is a finite dimensional translation invariant vector space, whose elements are exponential monomials with associated exponential function $e_k : G \to \mathbb{C}$, and $i \neq j$ implies $e_i \neq e_j$. Thus f is an exponential polynomial, and it can be decomposed as a sum

$$f(x) = p_1(x)e_1(x) + \cdots + p_N(x)e_N(x)$$

where $p_i\, e_i$ is a nonzero exponential monomial in E_i $(i = 1, \cdots, N)$.

Now we prove (ii). The minimality assumption on $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{r}$ implies that for each k in $\{1, \cdots, r\}$ there exist natural numbers a_j in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ and j in the set $\{1, \cdots, k-1, k+1, \cdots, t\}$ such that

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_{k-1};g_{a_{k-1}}}^{n_{k-1,a_{k-1}}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_{k+1};g_{a_{k+1}}}^{n_{k+1,a_{k+1}}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1} f(x) \neq 0$$

for some x_0 in G. Thus, we can apply Theorem 15 to

$$\psi_k(x) = \Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_{k-1};g_{a_{k-1}}}^{n_{k-1,a_{k-1}}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_{k+1};g_{a_{k+1}}}^{n_{k+1,a_{k+1}}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1} f(x)\,,$$

since $\Delta_{\varphi_k;g_i}^{n_{k,i}+1}\psi_k(x) = 0$ for all x in G and $i = 1, \cdots, t$, further $\psi_k \neq 0$. Thus ψ_k is an exponential monomial with associated exponential function m_k, and $m_k(g_i) = \varphi_k(g_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Furthermore, if

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_{k-1};g_{a_{k-1}}}^{n_{k-1,a_{k-1}}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_k;y_k}^{n_k+1} \Delta_{\varphi_{k+1};g_{a_{k+1}}}^{n_{k+1,a_{k+1}}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1} f(x) = \Delta_{\varphi_k;y_k}^{n_k+1}\psi_k(x) = 0$$

for all x, y_k in G, then $\varphi_k = m_k$ is an exponential function.

Now we prove that under the given conditions $N \leqslant r$, and each exponential e_i interpolates one of the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots, \varphi_r$ at the set of nodes $\{g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_t\}$.

The computations in the proof of Theorem 15 show that if $\varphi_i(g_j) \neq e_{k_0}(g_j)$, then the operator $\Delta_{\varphi_i;g_j} : E_{k_0} \to E_{k_0}$ is invertible. Assume that e_{k_0} is such that for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ the function e_{k_0} does not interpolate φ_i at the nodes $\{g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_t\}$. This means that for each i in $\{1, \cdots, r\}$ there exists a_i in $\{1, \cdots, t\}$ such that $e_{k_0}(g_{a_i}) \neq \varphi_i(g_{a_i})$, so that $\Delta_{\varphi_i;g_{a_i}}^{n_{i,a_i}+1} : E_{k_0} \to E_{k_0}$ is invertible. Thus, if the term $p_{k_0}e_{k_0}$ is nonzero in the decomposition of f as a sum of exponential monomials, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{a_2}}^{n_{2,a_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1}(f) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta_{m_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{a_2}}^{n_{2,a_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1}(p_k e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Here the k-th term belongs to E_k and the sum is a direct sum, hence it vanishes if and only if all the terms are zero. However

$$\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{a_2}}^{n_{2,a_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1}(p_{k_0}e_{k_0}) \neq 0$$

since $p_{k_0}e_{k_0} \neq 0$, and the operator $\Delta_{\varphi_1;g_{a_1}}^{n_{1,a_1}+1} \Delta_{\varphi_2;g_{a_2}}^{n_{2,a_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{\varphi_r;g_{a_r}}^{n_{r,a_r}+1} : E_{k_0} \to E_{k_0}$ is invertible. This is a contradiction, consequently we conclude that there exists $1 \leqslant i = i(k_0) \leqslant r$ such that $e_{k_0}(g_j) = \varphi_i(g_j)$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$. As this holds for $1 \leqslant k_0 \leqslant N$, the proof is complete. □

Theorem 18. *Let G be an Abelian group. The function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a local exponential polynomial if and only if for each positive integer t, and for each elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_t$ in G there are exponential functions $m_k : H \to \mathbb{C}$ defined on the subgroup H generated by the g_i's, and there are natural numbers $n_{i,k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant t$ such that*

$$\Delta_{m_1;g_{i_1}}^{n_{1,i_1}+1} \Delta_{m_2;g_{i_2}}^{n_{2,i_2}+1} \cdots \Delta_{m_r;g_{i_r}}^{n_{r,i_r}+1} f(x) = 0 \tag{16}$$

holds for $1 \leqslant i_k \leqslant t$, $k = 1, \cdots, r$, and for all x in H.

Proof. If f is a local exponential polynomial and H is a finitely generated subgroup of G with generators $g_1, \cdots, g_t$, then there exist polynomials $p_k : H \to \mathbb{C}$ and exponentials $m_k : H \to \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 1, \cdots, r$ such that $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} p_k(x) m_k(x)$ for each x in H. Then equation (16) trivially holds for appropriate values of $n_{k,j}$. This proves the necessity of the condition.

The sufficiency is a direct consequence of Theorem 17. □

If $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a local exponential polynomial, then the number of exponentials appearing in the decomposition of the restriction of f to H may depend on H. Indeed, we can give the following example: let G be the set of finitely supported complex sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and let $f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (2^i)^{x_i} x_i^i$.

References

[1] J. M. Almira, K. F. Abu-Helaiel, On Montel's theorem in several variables, Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, to appear.

[2] J. M. Almira, L. Székelyhidi, , Local polynomials and the Montel theorem, to appear in Aequationes Mathematicae, 2014.

[3] P. M. Anselone, J. Korevaar, Translation invariant subspaces of finite dimension, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964), 747-752.

[4] D. Ž. Djoković, A representation theorem for $(X_1 - 1)(X_2 - 1) \cdots (X_n - 1)$ and its applications, Ann. Polon. Math., **22** (1969/1970) 189-198.

[5] M. Lefranc, Analyse spectrale sur Z_n, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **246** (1958) 1951-1953.

[6] L. Székelyhidi, Annihilator methods in discrete spectral synthesis, Acta Math. Hung., to appear.

[7] L. Székelyhidi, A characterization of exponential polynomials, Pub. Math. Debrecen, **83** (4) 2013.

[8] L. Székelyhidi, On Fréchet's functional equation, Monatsh. für Math., to appear.

[9] L. Székelyhidi, *Convolution type functional equations on Topological Abelian Groups,* World Scientific, 1991.

[10] L. Székelyhidi, Polynomial functions and spectral synthesis, Aequationes Math., **70** (1-2) (2005) 122-130.

[11] L. Székelyhidi, *Discrete spectral synthesis and its applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[12] L. Székelyhidi, Noetherian rings of polynomial functions on Abelian groups, Aequationes Math., **84** (1-2) (2012) 41-50.

[13] L. Székelyhidi, Exponential polynomials on commutative hypergroups, Arch. Math., to appear.

[14] L. Székelyhidi, Characterization of exponential polynomials on commutative hypergroups, Ann. Funct. Anal., **5** (2) (2014) 53-60.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Jaén, E.P.S. Linares, C/Alfonso X el Sabio, 28, 23700 Linares, Spain

E-mail address: jmalmira@ujaen.es

Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary — Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, 4775 Notwane Rd. Gaborone, Botswana

E-mail address: lszekelyhidi@gmail.com